Between Dense and Sparse Polynomial Multiplication

Daniel S. Roche

WATERLOO CHERITON SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Drexel University May 9, 2011

A polynomial is any formula involving $+, -, \times$ on indeterminates and constants from a ring R.

Examples over $R = \mathbb{Z}$

$$4x^{10} - 3x^8 - x^7 + 3x^6 + x^5 - 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 5x^2$$

A polynomial is any formula involving $+, -, \times$ on indeterminates and constants from a ring R.

Examples over $R = \mathbb{Z}$

$$4x^{10} - 3x^8 - x^7 + 3x^6 + x^5 - 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 5x^2$$

 $x^{451} - 9x^{324} - 3x^{306} + 9x^{299} + 4x^{196} - 9x^{155} - 2x^{144} + 10x^{27}$

A polynomial is any formula involving $+, -, \times$ on indeterminates and constants from a ring R.

Examples over $R = \mathbb{Z}$

$$4x^{10} - 3x^8 - x^7 + 3x^6 + x^5 - 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 5x^2$$

$$x^{451} - 9x^{324} - 3x^{306} + 9x^{299} + 4x^{196} - 9x^{155} - 2x^{144} + 10x^{27}$$

$$6x^{484} - 9x^{482} + 10x^{481} - 2x^{33} - 2x^{32} - 7x^{29} + 8x^{28} - 7x^{27}$$

A polynomial is any formula involving $+, -, \times$ on indeterminates and constants from a ring R.

Examples over
$$R = \mathbb{Z}$$

 $4x^{10} - 3x^8 - x^7 + 3x^6 + x^5 - 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 5x^2$
 $x^{451} - 9x^{324} - 3x^{306} + 9x^{299} + 4x^{196} - 9x^{155} - 2x^{144} + 10x^{27}$
 $6x^{484} - 9x^{482} + 10x^{481} - 2x^{33} - 2x^{32} - 7x^{29} + 8x^{28} - 7x^{27}$
 $-x^{426} - 6x^{273} + 10x^{246} - 10x^{210} + 2x^{156} - 9x^{48} - 3x^{21} - 9x^{12}$

Polynomial Arithmetic

- Addition/subtraction of polynomials is trivial.
- Division uses multiplication as a subroutine.
- Multiplication is the most important basic computational problem on polynomials.

Application areas

- Cryptography
- Coding theory
- Symbolic computation
- Scientific computing
- Experimental mathematics

Polynomial Representations

Let
$$f = 7 + 5xy^8 + 2x^6y^2 + 6x^6y^5 + x^{10}$$
.

Dense representation:

0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	

Degree d n variables t nonzero terms **Dense** size: $O(d^n)$ coefficients **Polynomial Representations**

Let
$$f = 7 + 5xy^8 + 2x^6y^2 + 6x^6y^5 + x^{10}$$
.

Recursive dense representation:

0	5					0				0	
0	0					0				0	
0	0					0				0	
0	0					6				0	
0	0					0				0	
0	0					0				0	
0	0					2				0	
0	0					0				0	
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	

Degree *d n* variables *t* nonzero terms **Recursive dense** size: *O(tdn)* coefficients **Polynomial Representations**

Let
$$f = 7 + 5xy^8 + 2x^6y^2 + 6x^6y^5 + x^{10}$$
.

Sparse representation:

Degree d n variables t nonzero terms **Sparse** size: O(t) coefficients $O(tn \log d)$ bits

0 8 2 5 0 0 1 6 6 10 7 5 2 6 1

Dense Multiplication Algorithms

Cost (in ring operations) of multiplying two univariate dense polynomials with degrees less than d:

	Cost
Classical Method	$O(d^2)$
Divide-and-Conquer Karatsuba '63	$O(d^{\log_2 3})$ or $O(d^{1.59})$
FFT-based Schönhage/Strassen '71 Cantor/Kaltofen '91	$O(d \log d \operatorname{llog} d)$

We write M(d) for this cost.

Sparse Multiplication Algorithms

Cost of multiplying two univariate sparse polynomials with degrees less than d and at most t nonzero terms:

	Ring operations	Bit operations
Naïve	t^2	$O(t^3 \log d)$
Geobuckets (Yan '98)	t^2	$O(t^2 \log t \log d)$
Heaps (Johnson '74) (Monagan & Pearce '07)	t^2	$O(t^2 \log t \log d)$

Application: Cryptography

Public key cryptography is used extensively in communications. There are two popular flavors:

RSA

Requires integer computations modulo a large integer (thousands of bits). Long integer multiplication algorithms are generally the same as those for (dense) polynomials.

ECC

Usually requires computations in a finite extension field — i.e. computations modulo a polynomial (degree in the hundreds).

In both cases, **sparse** integers/polynomials are used to make schemes more efficient.

Application: Nonlinear Systems

Nonlinear systems of polynomial equations can be used to describe and model a variety of physical phenomena.

Numerous methods can be used to solve nonlinear systems, but usually:

- Inputs are sparse multivariate polynomials
- Intermediate values become dense.

One approach (used in triangular sets) simply switches from sparse to dense methods heuristically.

Adaptive multiplication

Goal: Develop algorithms which smoothly interpolate the cost between existing dense and sparse methods.

Ground rules

- The cost must never be greater than any standard dense or sparse algorithm.
- 2 The cost should be less than both in many "easy cases".

Overall Approach

Overall Steps

- 1 Recognize structure
- 2 Change rep. to exploit structure
- 3 Multiply
- 4 Convert back
 - Step 3 cost depends on instance difficulty.
 - Steps 1, 2, 4 must be *fast* (linear time).



Chunky Polynomials



•
$$f = 5x^6 + 6x^7 - 4x^9 - 7x^{52} + 4x^{53} + 3x^{76} + x^{78}$$

Chunky Polynomials



- $f = 5x^6 + 6x^7 4x^9 7x^{52} + 4x^{53} + 3x^{76} + x^{78}$
- $f_1 = 5 + 6x 4x^3$, $f_2 = -7 + 4x$, $f_3 = 3 + x^2$
- $f = f_1 x^6 + f_2 x^{52} + f_3 x^{76}$

Chunky Multiplication

Sparse algorithms on the outside, dense algorithms on the inside.

- Exponent arithmetic stays the same.
- Coefficient arithmetic is more costly.
- Terms in product may have more overlap.

Theorem

Given

$$f = f_1 x^{e_1} + f_2 x^{e_2} + \dots + f_t x^{e_t}$$

$$g = g_1 x^{d_1} + g_2 x^{d_2} + \dots + g_s x^{d_s},$$

the cost of chunky multiplication (in ring operations) is

$$O\left(\sum_{\substack{\deg f_i \ge \deg g_j \\ 1 \le i \le t, \ 1 \le j \le s}} (\deg f_i) \cdot \mathsf{M}\left(\frac{\deg g_j}{\deg f_i}\right) + \sum_{\substack{\deg f_i < \deg g_j \\ 1 \le i \le t, \ 1 \le j \le s}} (\deg g_j) \cdot \mathsf{M}\left(\frac{\deg f_i}{\deg g_j}\right)\right).$$

Chunky

Initial idea: Convert each operand independently, then multiply in the chunky representation.

But how to minimize the nasty cost measure?

Theorem

Any **independent** conversion algorithm must result in slower multiplication than the dense or sparse method in some cases.

Two-Step Chunky Conversion First Step: Optimal Chunk Size

Suppose every chunk in both operands was forced to have the same size k.

This simplifies the cost to $t(k) \cdot s(k) \cdot M(k)$, where t(k) is the least number of size-*k* chunks to make *f*.

First conversion step:

Compute the optimal value of k to minimize this simplified cost measure.

Computing the optimal chunk size

Optimal chunk size computation algorithm:

- Create two min-heaps with all "gaps" in *f* and *g*, ordered on the size of resulting chunk if gap were removed.
- 2 Remove all gaps of smallest priority and update neighbors
- Approximate t(k), s(k) by the size of the heaps, and compute t(k) · s(k) · M(k)
- 4 Repeat until no gaps remain.

With careful implementations, this can be made linear-time in either the dense or sparse representation.

Constant factor approximation; ratio is 4.

Computing the optimal chunk size

Optimal chunk size computation algorithm:

- Create two min-heaps with all "gaps" in *f* and *g*, ordered on the size of resulting chunk if gap were removed.
- 2 Remove all gaps of smallest priority and update neighbors
- 3 Approximate t(k), s(k) by the size of the heaps, and compute $t(k) \cdot s(k) \cdot M(k)$
- 4 Repeat until no gaps remain.

With careful implementations, this can be made linear-time in either the dense or sparse representation. Constant factor approximation; ratio is 4.

Observe: We must compute the cost of dense multiplication!

Two-Step Chunky Conversion

Second Step: Conversion given chunk size

After computing "optimal chunk size", conversion proceeds independently.

We compute the optimal chunky representation for multiplying by a single size-k chunk.

Idea: For each gap, maintain a linked list of all previous gaps to include if the polynomial were truncated here.

Algorithm: Increment through gaps, each time finding the last gap that should be included.

Conversion given optimal chunk size

The algorithm uses two key properties:

- Chunks larger than k bring no benefit.
- For smaller chunks, we want to minimize $\sum \frac{M(\deg f_i)}{\deg f_i}$



Theorem

Our algorithm computes the optimal chunky representation for multiplying by a single size-k chunk.

Its cost is linear in the dense or sparse representation size.

Chunky Multiplication Overview

Input: $f, g \in R[x]$, either in the sparse or dense representation **Output**: Their product $f \cdot g$, in the same representation

- Compute approximation to "optimal chunk size" k, looking at both f and g simultaneously.
- Convert *f* to optimal chunky representation for multiplying by a single size-*k* chunk.
- Convert g to optimal chunky representation for multiplying by a single size-k chunk.
- 4 Multiply pairwise chunks using dense multiplication.
- **5** Combine terms and write out the product.

Second idea for Adaptive Multiplication

Example

• $f = 3 - 2x^3 + 7x^6 + 5x^{12} - 6x^{15}$

Second idea for Adaptive Multiplication

- $f = 3 2x^3 + 7x^6 + 5x^{12} 6x^{15}$
- $f_D = 3 2x + 7x^2 + 5x^4 6x^5$

•
$$f = f_D \circ x^3$$

Second idea for Adaptive Multiplication

Example

- $f = 3 2x^3 + 7x^6 + 5x^{12} 6x^{15}$
- $f_D = 3 2x + 7x^2 + 5x^4 6x^5$
- $f = f_D \circ x^3$
- $g = g_D \circ x^3$

To multiply $f \cdot g$, multiply $f_D \cdot g_D$:

$$f \cdot g = (f_D \cdot g_D) \circ x^3$$

	Equal-Spaced	

•
$$f = 4 + 6x^2 + 9x^4 - 7x^6 - x^8 + 3x^{10} - 2x^{12}$$

•
$$g = 3 + 2x^3 - x^6 + 8x^9 - 5x^{12}$$

- $f = 4 + 6x^2 + 9x^4 7x^6 x^8 + 3x^{10} 2x^{12}$
- $f_D = 4 + 6x + 9x^2 7x^3 x^4 + 3x^5 2x^6$
- $f = f_D \circ x^2$
- $g = 3 + 2x^3 x^6 + 8x^9 5x^{12}$
- $g_D = 3 + 2x x^2 + 8x^3 5x^4$
- $g = g_D \circ x^3$

- $f = 4 + 6x^2 + 9x^4 7x^6 x^8 + 3x^{10} 2x^{12}$
- $f_0 = 4 7x 2x^2$, $f_2 = 6 x$, $f_4 = 9 + 3x$
- $f = f_0 \circ x^6 + x^2(f_2 \circ x^6) + x^4(f_4 \circ x^6)$
- $g = 3 + 2x^3 x^6 + 8x^9 5x^{12}$
- $g_0 = 3 x 5x^2$, $g_3 = 2 + 8x$
- $g = g_0 \circ x^6 + x^3(g_3 \circ x^6)$

- $f = 4 + 6x^2 + 9x^4 7x^6 x^8 + 3x^{10} 2x^{12}$
- $f_0 = 4 7x 2x^2$, $f_2 = 6 x$, $f_4 = 9 + 3x$
- $f = f_0 \circ x^6 + x^2(f_2 \circ x^6) + x^4(f_4 \circ x^6)$
- $g = 3 + 2x^3 x^6 + 8x^9 5x^{12}$
- $g_0 = 3 x 5x^2$, $g_3 = 2 + 8x$
- $g = g_0 \circ x^6 + x^3 (g_3 \circ x^6)$
- Computing $f \cdot g$ requires 6 multiplications $f_i \cdot g_j$, no additions
- Note: $f \cdot g$ is almost totally dense.

Equal-Spaced Multiplication



Theorem

Given
$$f = f_D \circ x^k$$
, $g = g_D \circ x^\ell$, and deg f , deg $g < n$, can find $f \cdot g$ using

$$O\left(\frac{n}{\gcd(k,\ell)}\mathsf{M}\left(\frac{n}{\operatorname{lcm}(k,\ell)}\right)\right)$$

ring operations.

Allowing Outliers

Consider $f = 3 - 2x^3 + 7x^6 - 4x^7 + 5x^{12} - 6x^{15}$.

• Can we handle almost-equal spaced polynomials?

Allowing Outliers

Consider $f = 3 - 2x^3 + 7x^6 - 4x^7 + 5x^{12} - 6x^{15}$.

• Can we handle almost-equal spaced polynomials?

Idea: Write $f = x^r \cdot f_D(x^k) + f_S$, where f_S is *s*-sparse.

If $s \in O(\log \deg f)$, the cost of equal-spaced multiplication will be less than that of dense multiplication.

Equal-Spaced Conversion

Write $S = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t\}$ for the exponents of nonzero terms in *f*.

Goal: Find the largest k such that all but $\lg n$ of the e_i 's are equivalent modulo k.

Equal-Spaced Conversion

Write $S = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t\}$ for the exponents of nonzero terms in *f*.

Goal: Find the largest k such that all but $\lg n$ of the e_i 's are equivalent modulo k.

Problem: This is related to max-factor *k*-gcd, which is **NP**-hard.

- Eliminates linear-time optimal conversion from sparse.
- Is the problem easier for dense polynomials?

Conversion from Dense

Theorem (Upper bound on *k*)

$$k \in O\left(\frac{n}{t}\right).$$

Idea: Perform a check for each possible *k*.

- Single check requires t modular computations.
- Can find majority element in *O*(*t*) by the algorithm of (Boyer & Moore / Fischer & Salzburg).
- Total cost is O(tk), which is O(n).

So we can find the optimal k in linear time in the size of the dense representation.

Combining Chunky and Equal-Spaced

To avoid the need for converting from sparse to equal-spaced, we can combine with chunky polynomials.

Chunks with Equal Spacing:

- 1 Convert dense or sparse input to chunky representation.
- Simultaneously convert each dense chunk to equal-spaced, using the same spacing parameter k for all chunks.
- Multiplication now uses sparse multiplication on the outside, equal-spaced in the middle, and dense on the inside.

Theorem

Multiplication using chunks with equal spacing as above is never more costly than chunky or equal-spaced multiplication alone.

Implementations in a Software Library

Our algorithms should be implemented and compared to existing approaches.

Current software supporting polynomial arithmetic is either:

- **Too big**: Maple, Mathematica, Singular, Magma, etc.
- Too small: NTL, FLINT, zn_poly
- Not open: sdmp, TRIP

The MVP (MultiVariate Polynomials) library will fill a niche: An open-source library for high-performance computations with sparse and dense polynomials.











Timings vs "Chunkiness"





Timings without imposed chunkiness



Multivariate Multiplication

We can apply our univariate algorithms to the multivariate case with the **Kronecker substitution**:

Given multivariate polynomials $f, g \in R[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, we compute degree bounds on the product: $d_i > \deg_{x_i}(fg)$, then write

$$\hat{f} = f\left(x, x^{d_1}, x^{d_1 d_2}, \dots, x^{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{n-1}}\right)$$
$$\hat{g} = g\left(x, x^{d_1}, x^{d_1 d_2}, \dots, x^{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{n-1}}\right)$$

Computing the univariate product $\hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}$ then gives all the terms of the actual multivariate product.

Possible Applicability of Kronecker Substitution

Homogeneous Polynomials

- Every term in a *homogeneous polynomial* has the same total degree.
- Example: $f = 2xy^4z + x^2y^4 2x^3z^3 + x^4yz$
- In the Kronecker substitution, this polynomial will be equal-spaced.

Recursive Dense

- The *recursive dense* representation has shown to be generally useful in computer algebra systems (Stoutemyer 1984, Fateman 2003).
- A dense coefficient array in this representation corresponds to a chunk with equal spacing under the Kronecker substitution.

Hence our adaptive representations may work well for "real" data.

Better approximations and bounds

We can hope for better conversion algorithms:

- Chunky conversion steps are optimal, but overall process might not be.
- Chunky/equal-spaced combination might be sub-optimal.
- Better constant-factor approximation •

On a practical level, further tweaking might avoid inefficiencies, especially at borderline cases.

The Ultimate Goal?

Adaptive methods go between pseudo-linear-time dense algorithms and quadratic-time sparse algorithms.

- Output size of sparse multiplication is at most quadratic.
- Can we have pseudo-linear output-sensitive cost?

Note: This is the best we can hope for and would generalize chunky and equal-spaced.

Connection to Sparse Interpolation

Problem: Sparse Polynomial Interpolation

Given a way to evaluate an unknown $f \in R[x]$ at any point, determine the terms in f.

Ben-Or & Tiwari ('88): Sparse interpolation algorithm Kaltofen & Lee ('02): Early termination strategy

These results allow sparse multiplication in time $O^{(t \log^2 d)}$, where *t* is sparsity of input plus output — *almost* what we want.

Extra $O(\log d)$ factor comes from root finding and discrete logs — can these be avoided without increasing the cost?

Connection to Sparse Interpolation

Problem: Sparse Polynomial Interpolation

Given a way to evaluate an unknown $f \in R[x]$ at any point, determine the terms in f.

Ben-Or & Tiwari ('88): Sparse interpolation algorithm Kaltofen & Lee ('02): Early termination strategy

These results allow sparse multiplication in time $O^{(t \log^2 d)}$, where *t* is sparsity of input plus output — *almost* what we want.

Extra $O(\log d)$ factor comes from root finding and discrete logs — can these be avoided without increasing the cost?

Are multiplication and interpolation deeply linked?

		Future Work
Summary		

- Two ways to go between dense and sparse multiplication: chunky and equal-spaced multiplication.
- These algorithms are never worse than existing approaches, but can be much better in well-structured cases.
- The two ideas can be combined and also have some promising applications and implementation results.
- Much work remains to understand this fundamental problem.

Thank you!