

The Selection Problem

Heap-Based Solutions

- \bullet First idea: Use a size- k max-heap
- \bullet Second idea: Use a size- n min-heap


```
def partition (A):
   n = len(A)i, j = 1, n-1while i \leq j:
       if A[i] \leq A[0]:
           i = i + 1elif A[j] > A [0]:
           j = j - 1
       else :
           swap (A, i, j)
   swap(A, 0, j)return j
    SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Spring 2015 6 / 41
```


QuickSelect

The Algorithm **Input**: Array A of length n , and integer k **Output**: Element at position k in the sorted array def quickSelect1 (A, k): n = len(A) swap (A, O, choosePivot1(A)) p = partition (A) if $p == k$: return A[p] elif p < k: return quickSelect1 (A[p+1 : n] , k-p -1) elif p > k: return quickSelect1 (A[0 : p] , k) SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 9 / 41

Analysis of QuickSelect

Average-case analysis

Assume all $n!$ permutations are equally likely. Average cost is sum of costs for all permutations, divided by n!.

Define $T(n, k)$ as average cost of quickSelect1(A,k):

$$
T(n,k) = n + \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} T(n-p-1,k-p-1) + \sum_{p=k+1}^{n-1} T(p,k) \right)
$$

See the book for a precise analysis, or...

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Spring 2015 11 / 41

Average-Case of quickSelect1

First simplification: define $T(n) = \max_k T(n, k)$

Analysis of QuickSelect

The key to the cost is the **position of the pivot**.

There are n possibilities, but can be grouped into:

- Good pivots: The position p is between $n/4$ and $3n/4$. Size of recursive call:
- Bad pivots: Position p is less than $n/4$ or greater than $3n/4$ Size of recursive call:

Each possibility occurs $\frac{1}{2}$ of the time.

Analysis of QuickSelect

Average-Case of quickSelect1

Based on the cost and the probability of each possibility, we have:

$$
\mathcal{T}(n) \leq n + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{3n}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{T}(n)
$$

(Assumption: every permutation in each partition is also equally likely.)

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 13 / 41

Randomized Pivot Choosing

Drawbacks of Average-Case Analysis

To get the average-case we had to make some BIG assumptions:

- Every permutation of the input is equally likely
- Every permutation of each half of the partition is still equally likely

The first assumption is actually false in most applications!

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 14 / 41

Randomized Pivot Choosing

Randomized algorithms

Randomized algorithms use a source of random numbers in addition to the given input.

AMAZINGLY, this makes some things faster!

Idea: Shift assumptions on the input distribution to assumptions on the random number distribution. (Why is this better?)

Specifically, assume the function random(n) returns an integer between 0 and n-1 with uniform probability.

```
Randomized Pivot Choosing
Randomized quickSelect
We could shuffle the whole array into a randomized ordering, or:
  1 Choose the pivot element randomly:
Randomized pivot choice
def choosePivot2 (A):
     # This returns a random number from 0 up to n-1
     return randrange (0, len (A))
 2 Incorporate this into the quickSelect algorithm:
Randomized selection
def quickSelect2 (A, k):
     swap (A, 0, choosePivot2(A))
     # ... the rest is the same as quickSelect1
     SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 16 / 41
                 Randomized Pivot Choosing
Analysis of quickSelect2
```
The expected cost of a randomized algorithm is the probability of each possibility, times the cost given that possibility.

We will focus on the expected worst-case running time.

Two cases: good pivot or bad pivot. Each occurs half of the time. . . The analysis is exactly the same as the average case!

Expected worst-case cost of quickSelect2 is $\Theta(n)$. Why is this better than average-case?

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 17 / 41

Median of Medians

Do we need randomization?

Can we do selection in linear time without randomization?

Blum, Floyd, Pratt, Rivest, and Tarjan figured it out in 1973.

But it's going to get a little complicated...

Median of Medians

Worst case of choosePivot3(A)

Assume all array elements are distinct.

Question: How unbalanced can the pivoting be?

- At least $\lceil m/2 \rceil$ medians must be \leq the chosen pivot.
- At least $\lceil q/2 \rceil$ elements are \leq each median.
- So the pivot must be greater than or equal to at least

 $\lceil m$ 2 $\overline{}$ · $\lceil q \rceil$ 2 m

elements in the array, in the worst case.

 \bullet By the same reasoning, as many elements must be \geq the chosen pivot.

Median of Medians

Aside: "At Least Linear"

Definition

• Important consequence: If $T(n)$ is at least linear, then $T(m) + T(n) \leq T(m+n)$ for any positive-valued variables *n* and *m*.

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 23 / 41

Analysis of quickSelect3

Since quickSelect3 and choosePivot3 are mutually recursive, we have to analyze them together.

• Let $T(n)$ = worst-case cost of quickSelect3(A,k)

Median of Medians

- Let $S(n)$ = worst-case cost of selectPivot3(A)
- \circ $T(n) =$
- \circ S(n) =
- \circ Combining these, $T(n) =$

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Spring 2015 27 / 41

QuickSort

Average-case analysis of quickSort1

Of all n! permutations, $(n - 1)!$ have pivot A[0] at a given position *i*.

Average cost over all permutations:

$$
T(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T(i) + T(n-i-1)) + \Theta(n), \qquad n \ge 2
$$

Do you want to solve this directly?

Instead, consider the average depth of the recursion. Since the cost at each level is $\Theta(n)$, this is all we need.

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 29 / 41

QuickSort

Average depth of recursion for quickSort1

 $D(n)$ = average recursion depth for size-n inputs.

$$
H(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \leq 1 \\ 1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \max (H(i), H(n-i-1)), & n \geq 2 \end{cases}
$$

We will get a **good pivot** $(n/4 \le p \le 3n/4)$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$

• The larger recursive call will determine the height (i.e., be the "max") with probability at least $\frac{1}{2}$.

Sorting without Comparisons Analysis of CountingSort Time: Space: SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 35 / 41

Sorting without Comparisons

Stable Sorting Definition A sorting algorithm is stable if elements with the same key stay in the same order. Quadratic algorithms and MergeSort are easily made stable QuickSort will require extra space to do stable partition. CountingSort is stable.

radixSort(A,d,B) **Input:** Integer array A of length n , and integers d and B such that every A[i] has d digits $A[i] = x_{d-1}x_{d-2} \cdots x_0$, to the base B. Output: A gets sorted. def radixSort (A, d, B): for i in range $(0, d)$: countingSort (A, B) # based on the i'th digits return A Works because CountingSort is stable! Analysis: SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 37 / 41

Sorting without Comparisons

Sorting without Comparisons Summary of Sorting Algorithms Every algorithm has its place and purpose! Algorithm | Analysis | In-place? | Stable? SelectionSort 2) best and worst \qquad yes \qquad yes InsertionSort \vert $\Theta(n)$ best, $\Theta(n^2)$ worst \vert yes \vert yes HeapSort $\Theta(n \log n)$ best and worst $\log n$ yes $\log n$ MergeSort $\Theta(n \log n)$ best and worst no yes QuickSort $\Theta(n \log n)$ best, $\Theta(n^2)$ worst \vert yes \vert no CountingSort \vert $\Theta(n + k)$ best and worst \vert no \vert yes RadixSort $\Theta(d(n+k))$ best and worst \vert yes \vert yes SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Unit 7 Spring 2015 38 / 41

More MSTs

Back to Kruskal's

Remember Kruskal's algorithm for finding MSTs?

Two major components:

- Sorting the edges by weight
- Doing a bunch of union and find operations

We're ready to optimize it now!

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 7 Spring 2015 41 / 41