From Designing a Digital Future

Progress in algorithms beats Moore's law

Everyone knows Moore's Law — a prediction made in 1965 by Intel co-founder Gordon Moore that the density of transistors in integrated circuits would continue to double every 1 to 2 years.

The course

Even more remarkable — and even less widely understood — is that in many areas, performance gains due to improvements in algorithms have vastly exceeded even the dramatic performance gains due to increased processor speed.

In the field of numerical algorithms, the improvement can be quantified. Here is just one example. A benchmark production planning model solved using linear programming would have taken 82 years to solve in 1988, using the computers and the linear programming algorithms of the day. Fifteen years later — in 2003 — this same model could be solved in roughly 1 minute, an improvement by a factor of roughly 43 million. Of this, a factor of roughly 1,000 was due to increased processor speed, whereas a factor of roughly 43,000 was due to improvements in algorithms!

The course

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 1 / 30

Why study algorithms? • It's all about efficiency!

We will make heavy use of abstractions.

Solving difficult problems, solving them fast, and figuring out when problems simply cannot be solved fast.

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 2 / 30

Problem, Algorithm, Program Definition of a Problem A problem is a collection of input-output pairs that specifies the desired behavior of an algorithm. Example (Sorting Problem) [20, 3, 14, 7], [3, 7, 14, 20] [13, 18], [13, 18] [5, 4, 3, 2, 1], [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] . . . SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 3 / 30

Sorted Array Search Problem Problem: Sorted array search Input: A, sorted array of integers x, number to search for Output: • An index k such that $A[k] = x$, or NOT_FOUND

Case Study: Array Search

Case Study: Array Search

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 8 / 30

Algorithm: linearSearch Input: (A, x) , an instance of the Sorted Array Search problem $i = 0$ while i < length (A) and A[i] < x do i = i + 1 if $i <$ length (A) and $A[i] = x$ then return i else return NOT_FOUND

Case Study: Array Search Algorithm: binarySearch Input: (A, x) , an instance of the Sorted Array Search problem $left = 0$ $right = length(A)-1$ while left < right do middle = $floor($ (left+right)/2) if $x \leq A[\text{middle}]$ then right = middle else if $x > A$ [middle] then $left = middle+1$ end if end while if $A[\text{left}] = x$ then return left else return NOT_FOUND SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 10 / 30

Case Study: Array Search

Algorithm: gallopSearch

Input: (A, x) , an instance of the Sorted Array Search problem

```
i = 1while i < length (A) and A[i] < = x do
i = i * 2left = floor(i/2)right = min(i, length(A)) - 1return binarySearch (A[ left .. right ])
```
SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 11 / 30

Loop Invariants

1. Initialization: The invariant is true at the beginning of the first time through the loop.

Analyzing Correctness

- 2. Maintenance: If the invariant is true at the beginning of one iteration, it's also true at the beginning of the next iteration.
- 3. Termination: After the loop exits, the invariant PLUS the loop termination condition tells us something useful.

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 13 / 30

Measure of Difficulty Need a way to put timings in context — should spend more time on harder inputs. Need to sort the data so we can make sense of it. Solution: assign a *difficulty measure* to each input. Most common measure: input size, n.

Implementation

Making a single function for run-time **Best-case**: Choose the best (smallest) time for each size Worst-case: Choose the worst (largest) time for each size Average-case: Choose the average of all the timings for each size Of these, the worst-case time is the usually the most significant. SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 17 / 30

Domination rule

Transitivity rule

If $T(n) \in O(f(n) + g(n))$, and $f(n) \in O(g(n))$, then $T(n) \in O(g(n))$.

If $T(n) \in O(f(n))$ and $f(n) \in O(g(n))$, then $T(n) \in O(g(n))$.

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 23 / 30

Second Simplification: Asymptotic Notation

If $T(n) \in O(f(n))$ and $c > 0$, then $T(n) \in O(c * g(n))$.

(In this case, we usually say that g "dominates" f .

Big-O Simplification Rules 2

Addition rule

If $T_1(n) \in O(f(n))$ and $T_2(n) \in O(g(n))$, then $T_1(n) + T_2(n) \in O(f(n) + g(n)).$

Multiplication rule

If $T_1(n) \in O(f(n))$ and $T_2(n) \in O(g(n))$, then $T_1(n) * T_2(n) \in O(f(n) * g(n)).$

Trivial rules For any positive-valued function f :

 \circ 1 \in $O(f(n))$ \circ f(n) \in O(f(n))

Second Simplification: Asymptotic Notation Big-Ω and Big-Θ Definition (Big-Ω) $T(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$ if and only if $f(n) \in O(T(n))$. Definition (Big-Θ) $T_1(n) \in \Theta(T_2(n))$ if and only if both $T_1(n) \in O(T_2(n))$ and $T_2(n) \in O(T_1(n)).$ Which of the previous rules apply for these? SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Unit 1 Spring 2014 25 / 30 Second Simplification: Asymptotic Notation Worst-case running times **I** linearSearch is $\Theta(n)$ in the worst case \bullet binarySearch is $\Theta(\log n)$ in the worst case e gallopSearch is $\Theta(\log n)$ in the worst case too! What does this all mean? SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Spring 2014 26 / 30 Second Simplification: Asymptotic Notation WARNING Don't mix up worst/best/average case with big-O/big-Ω/big-Θ.

- Problem, Algorithm, Program
- Best-case, worst-case, and average-case
- Big-O, Big-Ω, Big-Θ