From Designing a Digital Future

Progress in algorithms beats Moore's law

Everyone knows Moore's Law — a prediction made in 1965 by Intel co-founder Gordon Moore that the density of transistors in integrated circuits would continue to double every 1 to 2 years.

Even more remarkable — and even less widely understood — is that in many areas, *performance gains due to improvements in algorithms have vastly exceeded even the dramatic performance gains due to increased processor speed.*

In the field of numerical algorithms, the improvement can be quantified. Here is just one example. A benchmark production planning model solved using linear programming would have taken 82 years to solve in 1988, using the computers and the linear programming algorithms of the day. Fifteen years later — in 2003 — this same model could be solved in roughly 1 minute, an improvement by a factor of roughly 43 million. Of this, a factor of roughly 1,000 was due to increased processor speed, whereas a factor of roughly 43,000 was due to improvements in algorithms!

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1

The course

Why study algorithms?

- It's all about efficiency!
- We will make heavy use of abstractions.
- Solving difficult problems, solving them fast, and figuring out when problems simply cannot be solved fast.

SI 335 (USNA)

Unit 1

Spring 2014 2 / 30

Spring 2014 1 / 30

 Problem, Algorithm, Program

 Definition of a Problem

 A problem is a collection of input-output pairs that specifies the desired behavior of an algorithm.

 Example (Sorting Problem)

 • [20, 3, 14, 7], [3, 7, 14, 20]

 • [13, 18], [13, 18]

 • [5, 4, 3, 2, 1], [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

 • :







Three foci	of this course		
Foci of the course			
• Design : How to come problems	up with efficient algo	orithms for all sorts of	
 Analysis: What it mea to compare two differer 	ns for an algorithm t nt algorithms for the	o be "efficient", and l same problem.	าอพ
 Implementation: Faith actual, usable, fast prog 	nfully translating a gi gram.	ven algorithm to an	
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 1	Spring 2014	7 / 30

Case Study: Array Search Sorted Array Search Problem Problem: Sorted array search Input: • A, sorted array of integers • x, number to search for Output: • An index k such that A[k] = x, or NOT_FOUND SI 325 (USNA) Unit 1 Spring 2014 8 / 30

Case Study: Array Search

Algorithm: linearSearch
Input: (A, x), an instance of the Sorted Array Search problem
i = 0
while i < length(A) and A[i] < x do
 i = i + 1
if i < length(A) and A[i] = x then return i
else return NOT_FOUND</pre>

Case Study: Array Search Algorithm: binarySearch Input: (A, x), an instance of the Sorted Array Search problem left = 0right = length(A) -1while left < right do middle = floor((left+right)/2) if x <= A[middle] then right = middle else if x > A[middle] then left = middle+1 end if end while if A[left] = x then return left else return NOT_FOUND SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Spring 2014 10 / 30

Case Study: Array Search

Algorithm: gallopSearch

Input: (A, x), an instance of the Sorted Array Search problem

```
i = 1
while i < length(A) and A[i] <= x do
    i = i * 2
left = floor(i/2)
right = min(i, length(A)) - 1
return binarySearch(A[left..right])</pre>
```

SI 335 (USNA)

Unit 1

Spring 2014 11 / 30

Analyzing Correctness

Loop Invariants

- 1. **Initialization**: The invariant is true at the beginning of the first time through the loop.
- 2. **Maintenance**: If the invariant is true at the beginning of one iteration, it's also true at the beginning of the next iteration.
- 3. **Termination**: After the loop exits, the invariant PLUS the loop termination condition tells us something useful.

Implementation
Choices in Implementation
 What programming language to use
 What precise language constructs to use (For example, should the list be an array or a linked list? Should we actually call the "length" function on the list every time, or save it in a variable?)
• What compiler to use, and what compiler options to compile with.
• What machine/architecture to run on

Unit 1

Impler	mentation				
Timing Experiments					
		. .			
Input	X	Result	linear	binary	gallop
[6 7 8]	4	NOT	5	5	7
[27 50 62 78 180]	62	2	6	7	12
[3 6 23 27 990]	500	NOT	76	14	25
[7 11 14 17 99997]	19	4	8	31	15
[14 17 28 58 999992]	966	99	128	53	27
[0 2 2 3 9998]	9999	NOT	12108	35	59
• Which one is the fastest?					
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit	1		Sprin	g 2014 14 / 30

Measure of Difficulty

SI 335 (USNA)

- Need a way to put timings in context should spend more time on *harder* inputs.
- Need to *sort* the data so we can make sense of it.

Implementation

Solution: assign a *difficulty measure* to each input.

Most common measure: input size, n.

Spring 2014 13 / 30



Making a single function for run-time

Best-case: Choose the best (smallest) time for each size
Worst-case: Choose the worst (largest) time for each size
Average-case: Choose the average of all the timings for each size
Of these, the worst-case time is the usually the most significant.



	Analysis		
Shortcomings of a	perimental comparise	on	
Shortcomings of ex	permentar compans	011	
It depends on the	machine.		
 It depends on the i 	mplementation.		
 It depends on the elements 	examples chosen for each s	ize.	
It depends on the s	sizes chosen.		
• Can't describe how	<i>much better</i> one algorithr	n is than another.	
 Implementations and 	re expensive (time, cost) to	o create.	
Formal analysis will ov	vercome these shortcomings	s, but requires some	
more simplifications.			
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 1	Spring 2014	19 / 30
First Simplificati	on: Abstract Machine		
Abstract Machine			
To achieve <i>machine ind</i>	ependence, we usually cour	nt the number of	
operations in an abstrac	ct machine model such as a	a RAM.	
That's too hardcore for	us. Instead, we will count:	:	
Definition (Primitive (Operation)		
A primitive operation is	one that can be performed	d in a fixed number	of
steps on any modern ar	chitecture.		
1 u			
 Intentionally vague 	definition		
Examples: integer	addition, memory lookup, o	comparison	
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 1	Spring 2014	20 / 30
First Simplificati	on: Abstract Machine		
Drimitive count or	alveia		
Primitive count and	aiysis		



SI 335 (USNA)

Unit 1

Spring 2014 23 / 30

Second Simplification: Asymptotic Notation

Big-O Simplification Rules 2

Addition rule

If $T_1(n) \in O(f(n))$ and $T_2(n) \in O(g(n))$, then $T_1(n) + T_2(n) \in O(f(n) + g(n))$.

Multiplication rule

If $T_1(n) \in O(f(n))$ and $T_2(n) \in O(g(n))$, then $T_1(n) * T_2(n) \in O(f(n) * g(n))$.

Trivial rules For any positive-valued function *f*:

1 ∈ O(f(n))
f(n) ∈ O(f(n))

Unit 1

Second Simplification: Asymptotic Notation $\mathsf{Big}\text{-}\Omega$ and $\mathsf{Big}\text{-}\Theta$ Definition (Big- Ω) $T(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$ if and only if $f(n) \in O(T(n))$. Definition (Big- Θ) $T_1(n) \in \Theta(T_2(n))$ if and only if both $T_1(n) \in O(T_2(n))$ and $T_2(n) \in O(T_1(n)).$ • Which of the previous rules apply for these? SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Spring 2014 25 / 30 Second Simplification: Asymptotic Notation Worst-case running times • linearSearch is $\Theta(n)$ in the worst case • binarySearch is $\Theta(\log n)$ in the worst case • gallopSearch is $\Theta(\log n)$ in the worst case too! • What does this all mean? SI 335 (USNA) Unit 1 Spring 2014 26 / 30 Second Simplification: Asymptotic Notation WARNING Don't mix up worst/best/average case with big-O/big- Ω /big- Θ .

	nt difficulty measure	
Different difficulty r	measure	
• Observation: linear	rSearch and gallopSearc	ch perform better when
the search key x is v	very small.	
Alternate difficulty r	measure: <i>m</i> , the least ind	lex such that $A[m] \ge x$.
Re-do the analysis in	n terms of <i>m</i> and <i>n</i> .	
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 1	Spring 2014 28 / 30
A di	fferent cost function	
A different cost fun	ction	
What if we counted com	narisons instead of primit	ive operations?
What if we counted com		
IinearSearch:		
binarySearch:		
• bindi ybcai on.		
• gallopSearch:		
• gallopSearch:		
 gallopSearch: 		
 gallopSearch: 		
• gallopSearch:		
• gallopSearch:		
 gallopSearch: 		5. (12. 0014 - 20. / 20.
 gallopSearch: si 335 (USNA) 	Unit 1	Spring 2014 29 / 30
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 1	Spring 2014 29 / 30
si 335 (USNA)	Unit 1	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 a gallopSearch: si 335 (USNA) A dr Conclusions 	Unit 1	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 GallopSearch: SI 335 (USNA) A di 	Unit 1	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 GallopSearch: SI 335 (USNA) A di Conclusions 	Unit 1	Spring 2014 29 / 30
si 335 (USNA) A di Conclusions	Unit 1	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 a gallopSearch: gallopSearch: SI 335 (USNA) A di Conclusions Which search algorithm 	Unit 1 Ifferent cost function	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 a gallopSearch: gallopSearch: SI 335 (USNA) A di Conclusions Which search algo Design, Analysis, Im 	Unit 1 fferent cost function prithm is the best?	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 I and J Sourch: gallopSearch: SI 335 (USNA) A dr Conclusions Which search algo Design, Analysis, Im Problem, Algorithm 	Unit 1 Ifferent cost function orithm is the best? nplementation , Program	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 SI 335 (USNA) A di Conclusions Which search algo Design, Analysis, Im Problem, Algorithm Best-case, worst-case 	Unit 1 fferent cost function prithm is the best? nplementation , Program se, and average-case	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 SI 335 (USNA) A di Conclusions Which search algo Design, Analysis, Im Problem, Algorithm Best-case, worst-case Big-O, Big-Ω, Big-Ω 	Unit 1 fferent cost function orithm is the best? nplementation , Program se, and average-case Ə	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 BillopSearch: gallopSearch: SI 335 (USNA) A di Conclusions Which search algo Design, Analysis, Im Problem, Algorithm Best-case, worst-case Big-O, Big-Ω, Big-O 	Unit 1 fferent cost function orithm is the best? nplementation , Program se, and average-case Ə	Spring 2014 29 / 30
 Billary Societien: gallopSearch: gallopSearch: A distribution of the search algos of the sea	Unit 1 fferent cost function prithm is the best? applementation , Program se, and average-case e	Spring 2014 29 / 30

Unit 1