	Quadratic-time sorting	Overview		
Sorting				
Sorting Problem				
Input: An array of	comparable elemen	ts		
<b>Output</b> : The same	elements, sorted in	1 ascending order		
<ul><li>One of the mo</li></ul>	st well-studied algo	rithmic problems	í	
<ul> <li>Has lots of pra</li> </ul>	ctical applications			
You should alread	eady know a few al	gorithms		
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit	2	Spring 2013	1 / 2
	Quadratic-time sorting	Overview		

## SelectionSort

```
def selectionSort(A):
    for i in range(0, len(A)-1):
        m = i
        for j in range(i+1, len(A)):
            if A[j] < A[m]:
                m = j
            swap(A, i, m)
            m = j
            swap(A, i, m)
            swap(A, i
```

SI 335 (USNA)

Unit 2

Spring 2013 2 / 21

```
Quadratic-time sorting Overview

InsertionSort

def insertionSort(A):

    for i in range(1, len(A)):

        j = i - 1

        while j >= 0 and A[j] > A[j+1]:

        swap(A, j, j+1)

        j = j - 1

SI 335 (USNA) Unit 2 Spring 2013 3/21
```

Quad	Iratic-time sorting Overview			
Common Features				
It's useful to look for large	er patterns in <b>algorithm d</b>	esign.		
Both InsertionSort and Se	lectionSort build up a sort	ed array one element		
at a time, in the following	two steps:			
	it in the unsorted part of t	2		
• Place: Insert that ele	ement into the sorted part	of the array		
For both algorithms, one on is "hard" ( <i>O</i> ( <i>n</i> ) time). W		it time) and the other		
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 2	Spring 2013 4 / 21		
	Iratic-time sorting Loop analysis with sum	mations		
Analysis of Selection	Sort			
Each loop has $O(n)$ iterat	ions, so the total cost is C	$D(n^2)$ .		
What about a big-⊖ boun	id?			
	11-2-2			
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 2	Spring 2013 5 / 21		
0	Iratic-time sorting Loop analysis with sum	mations		
	Iratic-time sorting Loop analysis with sum	mations		
Arithmetic Series				

An *arithmetic series* is one where consecutive terms differ by a constant.

General formula: 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} (a+bi) = \frac{(m+1)(2a+bm)}{2}$$

So the worst-case of SelectionSort is

This is  $\Theta(n^2)$ , or quadratic time.

SI 335 (USNA)

Quadratic-t	ime sorting Wor	st-case family of examples		
Worst-Case Family				
Why can't we analyze Insertio	onSort in th	e same way?		
We need a <b>family of example</b> the worst case.	<b>es</b> , of arbiti	rarily large size, tha	t demonstr	rate
Worst-case for InsertionSort:				
Worst-case cost:				
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 2		Spring 2013	7 / 21
Quadratic-t	ime sorting Wor	st-case family of examples		
SelectionSort (Recursive Ve	rsion)			
		<u></u>		
<pre>def selectionSortRec(A</pre>		0):		
m = minIndex(A				
swap(A, start,				
selectionSortR	iec(A, st	art + 1)		
minIndex				

Quadratic-time sorting Recursive analysis

## Analysis of minIndex

Let T(n) be the worst-case number of operations for a size-*n* input array.

We need a **recurrence relation** to define T(n):

$$T(n) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 1, & n \leq 1 \ 4 + T(n-1), & n \geq 2 \end{array} 
ight.$$

Solving the recurrence:

SI 335 (USNA)

Unit 2

	atic-time sorting Recursive analysis			
Analysis of recursive SelectionSort				
Let $S(n)$ be the worst-case	for SelectionSort			
What is the recurrence?				
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 2	Spring 2013	10 / 21	
			- /	
	MergeSort Paradigm			
Divide and Conquer				
Binde and conquer				
A new Algorithm Design	Paradigm: Divide and Conq	lier		
	and gin. Divide and conq	uei		
Works in three steps:				
<ol> <li>Break the problem interest</li> </ol>				
Solve each of the subp Solve each of the subp	-			
③ Combine the results to	o solve the original problem.			
MergeSort and BinarySearc	ch both follow this paradigm.			
(How do they approach ead				
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 2	Spring 2013	11 / 21	
	MergeSort Paradigm			
MergeSort				
<pre>def mergeSort(A):</pre>				
if len(A) <= 1:				
return A else:				
m = len(A) / R = A[O, m]				
B = A[O : m] $C = A[m : let]$				

```
mergeSort(B)
mergeSort(C)
A[:] = merge(B, C)
SI 335 (USNA)
                                           Unit 2
                                                                                 Spring 2013 12 / 21
```

MergeSort Paradigm		
Merge		
Merge		
def merge(B, C):		
A = []		
i, j = 0, 0		
while i < len(B) and j < len(C):		
if B[i] <= C[j]:		
A.append(B[i])		
i = i + 1		
else:		
A.append(C[j])		
j = j + 1		
while i < len(B):		
A.append(B[i])		
i = i + 1		
while j < len(C):		
A.append(C[j])		
j = j + 1		
return A		
SI 335 (USNA) Unit 2	Spring 2013	13 / 21
		,

Analysis of Merge

Each while loop has constant cost.

So we just need the total number of iterations through every loop.

	Lower bound	Upper bound	Exact
Loop 1	$\min(a, b)$	a+b	
Loop 2	0	а	
Loop 3	0	b	
Total	$\min(a, b)$	2(a+b)	

MergeSort Analysis

a is the size of A and b is the size of B.

SI 335 (USNA)

Unit 2

Spring 2013 14 / 21

	MergeSort	Analysis		
	5	-		
Analysis of MergeSort				
Analysis of Mergeson				
SI 335 (USNA)	Un	it 2	Spring 2013	15 / 21

	Lower Bound for Sorting			
Complexity of S		,		
complexity of s	Joi ting			
Algorithms we have	e seen so far:			
	Sort	Worst-case cost	]	
	SelectionSort	$\Theta(n^2)$		
	InsertionSort	$\Theta(n^2)$		
	MergeSort	$\Theta(n \log n)$		
	HeapSort	$\Theta(n \log n)$		
Aillion dollar qua	ation. Con word	battar than Q(n	log n)?	
minon uonar que	stion. Can we do	b better than $\Theta(n)$		
SI 335 (USNA)		Jnit 2	Spring 2013	16 / 21
51555 (USINA)			Spring 2013	10 / 21
	Lower Bound for Sorting	<del>,</del>		
	Lower Dound for Dorang	,		
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc.	)	<i>i</i> o ways:	
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Every sorting algor</li> <li>t is a very general</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, ithm we have see	) =, etc.) en uses this model.		
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Every sorting algor</li> <li>t is a very general</li> <li>nything else.</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, rithm we have see I model for sortin	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege		
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Every sorting algor</li> <li>t is a very general</li> <li>nything else.</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, rithm we have see I model for sortin	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege		
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Every sorting algor</li> <li>t is a very general</li> <li>nything else.</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, ithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege		17 / 21
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Cvery sorting algort is a very general nything else.</li> <li>Vhat operations ar</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, rithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege this model?	rs or floats or	17 / 21
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Cvery sorting algort is a very general nything else.</li> <li>Vhat operations ar</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, rithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege this model?	rs or floats or	17 / 21
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Comparing algor</li> <li>Every sorting algor</li> <li>t is a very general</li> <li>nything else.</li> <li>Vhat operations ar</li> <li>SI 335 (USNA)</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, ithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege this model?	rs or floats or	17 / 21
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Every sorting algor</li> <li>t is a very general nything else.</li> <li>What operations ar</li> <li>SI 335 (USNA)</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, ithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege this model?	rs or floats or	17 / 21
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Comparing algor</li> <li>Every sorting algor</li> <li>t is a very general</li> <li>nything else.</li> <li>Vhat operations ar</li> <li>SI 335 (USNA)</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, ithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege this model?	rs or floats or	17 / 21
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Comparing algor</li> <li>Every sorting algor</li> <li>t is a very general</li> <li>nything else.</li> <li>Vhat operations ar</li> <li>SI 335 (USNA)</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, ithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege this model?	rs or floats or	17 / 21
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Comparing algoright to the second s</li></ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, ithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege this model?	rs or floats or Spring 2013	17 / 21
<ul> <li>Moving them</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Comparing two</li> <li>Every sorting algoright is a very general anything else.</li> <li>What operations are straight operations are straight operations</li> <li>SI 335 (USNA)</li> <li>Permutations</li> <li>How many ordering</li> </ul>	(swap, copy, etc. o of them (<, >, ithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in Lower Bound for Sorting	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege this model? J <sup>nit 2</sup>	rs or floats or Spring 2013	17 / 21
• Comparing two Every sorting algor t is a very general anything else. What operations an	(swap, copy, etc. o of them ( $<$ , $>$ , rithm we have see I model for sortin re <i>not</i> allowed in Lower Bound for Sorting Lower Bound for Sorting $n! = n \times (n - 1)$ pomparison-based s	) =, etc.) en uses this model. g strings or intege this model? Juit 2 Juit 2 s tions) are there of $n$ $x \times (n-2) \times \cdots \times$	rs or floats or Spring 2013 n elements? $2 \times 1.$	

Lowe	r Bound for Sorting					
Logarithms						
Recall some useful facts about logarithms:						
• $\log_b b = 1$						
	• $\log_b b = 1$ • $\log_b ac = \log_b a + \log_b c$					
• $\log_b a^c = c \log_b a$						
• $\log_b a = (\log_c a)/(\log_c a)$	g <sub>c</sub> b)					
Now how about a lower l	oound on lg <i>n</i> !?					
SI 335 (USNA)	Unit 2	Spring 2013 19 / 21				
	r Bound for Sorting					
Lower Bound on So	rting					
A correct algorithm possible input permu	must take different action utations.	ns for each of the				
2 The choice of action	is is determined only by c	comparisons.				
③ Each comparison ha	s two outcomes.					
<ul> <li>An algorithm that performs c comparisons can only take 2<sup>c</sup> different actions.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>The algorithm must perform at least lg n! comparisons.</li> </ul>						
	perform at least ig n: col	inparisons.				
Therefore <b>ANY comparison-based sort is</b> $\Omega(n \log n)$						
	Unit 2	Spring 2013 20 / 21				
SI 335 (USNA)	0mt 2	Spring 2013 20 / 21				
		]				
Conclusions						
Any sorting algorithm the $\Omega(n \log n)$ steps in the we		must take at least				
This means that sor	ts like MergeSort and He	anSort couldn't be much				

- better they are asymptotically optimal.
  What if I claimed to have a O(n) sorting algorithm?
  - What would that tell you about my algorithm (or about me)?
- Remember what we learned about summations, recursive algorithm analysis, and logarithms.