

Programming Languages: The first law of computer science: Every problem is solved by yet another indirection

Professor Keith Sullivan

Parameter Passing Mode

- ▶ Passing information from the **call site** to the **function**.
- ▶ Parameter Passing Mode tells us **how** the information is communicated from the call site to the function.

Example

```
1 int foo1_global;
2 void foo1() { foo1_global = foo1_global * 2; }
3
4 int foo2(int in) { return in * 2; }
5
6 void foo3(int& inout) { inout *= 2;}
7
8 int main() {
9     int x=1, y=2, z=3;
10
11     foo1_global = x;
12     foo1();
13     cout << foo1_global << endl;
14
15     cout << foo2(y) << endl;
16
17     foo3(z);
18     cout << z << endl;
```

Pass by Value

- ▶ Function receives a **copies** of the arguments
- ▶ Function cannot modify the originals, and copies go out of scope when function returns
- ▶ Arguments are **one-way communication** from call site to function
 - ▶ Can the function communicate back?
- ▶ C/C++ use pass by value by default
- ▶ Java uses it for primitive types

Pass by Reference

- ▶ The **formal parameters** of the function become **aliases** for the arguments
- ▶ Function arguments now represent **two-way communication**
- ▶ Can cause confusion and introduce difficulties for the compiler:

```
1 int a, b, *p, *q;  
2  
3 a = *p;  
4 *q = 3;  
5 b = *p;
```

Variations

- ▶ Pass by Value/Result
The initial value is passed as a copy, and the final value on return is copied back to the actual parameter. Behaves like pass by reference unless the actual parameter is accessed during the function call.

```
int x = 1;  
void f(int &a)  
{  
    a = 2;  
    x = 0;  
}  
main()  
{  
    f(x);  
    cout << x << endl;  
}
```

Variations

- ▶ Pass by Sharing
Actual and formal parameters both reference some shared data. But they are not aliases; functions can change the object that is referenced by cannot set which object is referenced.

Pass by Sharing

```
class Share {
    static class Small {
        public int x;
        public Small(int thex) { x = thex; }
    }

    public static void test(Small s) {
        s.x = 10;
        s = new Small(20);
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Small mainsmall = new Small(5);
        test(mainsmall);
        System.out.println(mainsmall.x);
    }
}
```

Argument evaluation

Question: When are function arguments evaluated?

There are three common options:

- ▶ **Applicative order:** Arguments are evaluated *just before the function body is executed*.
This is what we get in C, C++, Java, and even SPL.
- ▶ **Call by name:** Arguments are evaluated *every time they are used*.
(If they aren't used, they aren't evaluated!)

Lazy Evaluation

(A.K.A. *normal order evaluation*)

Combines the best of both worlds:

- ▶ Arguments are not evaluated *until they are used*.
- ▶ Arguments are only evaluated *at most once*.

(Related idea to *memoization*.)

Why not use lazy evaluation everywhere? Why doesn't C++ use it?

What about functional languages?

Method calls in objects

What does a call like *obj.foo(x)* do in an OOP language such as C++ or Java?

foo must be a method defined in the class of *obj*.

The method also has access to what object it was called on (called *this* in C++ and Java).

This is **syntactic sugar** for having a globally-defined method *foo*, with an extra argument for "*this*".

So we can think of *obj.foo(x)* as *foo(obj,x)*.

Overloading

Function overloading: one name, many functions.

Which function to call is determined by the *types* of the arguments.

```
class A { void print() { cout << "in_A" << endl; } };
class B { void print() { cout << "in_B" << endl; } };

void foo(int a) { cout << a << " is an int\n"; }
void foo(double a) { cout << a << " is a double\n"; }

int main() {
    cout << (5 << 3) << endl;
    A x;
    B y;
    x.print();
    y.print();
    foo(5);
    foo(5.0);
}
```

How does overloading occur in this C++ example?

Quirk of C++

```
struct Point {
    int x;
    int y;
};

Point operator+ (Point a, Point b) {
    Point result;
    result.x = a.x + b.x;
    result.y = a.y + b.y;
    return result;
}

int main() {
    Point p1, p2;
    /* ... */
    Point p3 = p1 + p2;
    int x = 1 + 2;
}
```

Polymorphism

Subtype polymorphism is like overloading, but the called function depends on the object's *actual type*, not its declared type!

Each object stores a *virtual methods table* (vtable) containing the address of every virtual function.

This is inspected **at run-time** when a call is made.

Polymorphism Example

```
class Base { virtual void aha() = 0; };

class A : public Base {
    void aha() { cout << "I'm an A!" << endl; }
};

class B : public Base {
    void aha() { cout << "I'm a B!" << endl; }
}

int main(int argc) {
    Base* x;
    if (argc == 1 )
        x = new A;
    else
        x = new B;
    x.aha(); // Which one will it call?
}
```

Macros

```
int y = 10;

#define X (y + 2)

void foo(int y) {
    cout << X << endl;
}

int main() {
    cout << X << endl;
    y = y * 20;
    cout << X << endl;
    foo(50);
}
```

Constant Macros

```
#define PI 3.14159
#define TWOPI PI + PI

double circum (double radius)
{
    return TWOPI * radius;
}
```

Function Macros

```
#define CIRCUM (radius) 2*3.14159*radius

...
cout << CIRCUM(1.5) + CIRCUM(2.5) << endl;
...
```

Why the extra parentheses here?

```
#define DIVIDES (a, n) (!(n) % (a))
```

Macros

- ▶ The advantage is SPEED - pre-compilation!
- ▶ Notice: no types, syntactic checks, etc.
— *lots of potential for nastiness!*
- ▶ The literal text of the arguments is pasted into the function wherever the parameters appear.
This is called **call by name**
- ▶ The *inline* and *constexpr* keywords in C++ are compiler suggestions that may offer a compromise.
- ▶ Scheme has a very sophisticated macro definition mechanism
— allows one to define “special forms”.