

## Scripting Languages

bash, Ruby, Python, Pearl, and PHP are examples of *scripting languages*. They are designed for *small tasks* that involve coordination or communication with other programs.

Common properties:

- Interpreted, with dynamic typing
- Emphasis on *expressivity* and *ease of programming* over efficiency
- Allows multiple paradigms (functional, imperative, object-oriented)
- Built-in string handling, data types
- Extensive “shortcut” syntactic constructs

## Scripting example: Prime generation in Python

```
def PrimeGen():
    for p in itertools.count(2):
        if (reduce(lambda a,b: a and b, \
            map(lambda i: p%i != 0, range(2,p)), True)):
            yield p

for p in PrimeGen():
    if (p < 100): print p
    else: break
```

## Generators

Sometimes a function computes multiple values as it goes along.

An iterator created automatically from such a function is called a *generator*

Simpler (related) Python example:

```
def factors(n):
    for i in range(2,n):
        if (n % i == 0): yield i
```

## The Need for Generic Code

A *function* is an abstraction of similar behavior with *different values*.

*Generic* code takes this to the next level, by abstracting similar functions (or classes) with *different types*.

Most common usages:

- Basic functions: min/max, sorting
- Collections: vector, linked list, hash table, etc.

## Genericity in Scheme

In Scheme and other languages with *run-time type checking*, writing generic functions is (mostly) trivial.

Generic minimum function:

```
(define (minimum a b)
  (if (<= a b) a b))
```

Generic binary tree structure:

```
(define (make-bt ele left right)
  (lambda (command)
    (cond [(symbol=? command 'left) left]
          [(symbol=? command 'right) right]
          [(symbol=? command 'root) ele])))

(define BST (make-bt 4 (make-bt 2 (make-bt 1 null null)
                                (make-bt 3 null null))
                    (make-bt 6 (make-bt 5 null null)
                                (make-bt 7 null null))))
```

## Genericity in C++

### Old School (C style)

- Use *function-like macros* to code-generate every possibility.
- Types to be used in generic functions/classes must be explicitly specified.

### Templates (C++ style)

- Built into the language; don't rely on preprocessor
- Compiler does code generation, similar to macros
- Types to be used are determined *implicitly* at compile-time
- *Separate compilation* becomes difficult or impossible.

## C++ Genericity with Macros

```
#define WRITE_LL_CLASS(T) \  
class Node_ ## T { \  
public: \  
    T data; \  
    Node_ ## T * next; \  
    Node_ ## T (T d, Node_ ## T * n) :data(d), next(n) { } \  
\  
    T printAndSum() { \  
        cout << data << endl; \  
        if (next == NULL) return data; \  
        else return data + next->printAndSum(); \  
    } \  
}; \  
  
WRITE_LL_CLASS(float) \  
WRITE_LL_CLASS(int) \  
  
int main() { \  
    Node_float* fhead = NULL; \  
    Node_int* ihead = NULL; \  
  
    // ... fill the lists with some input \  
  
    cout << "Floating_sum:_ " << fhead->printAndSum() << endl << endl; \  
    cout << "Int_sum:_ " << ihead->printAndSum() << endl << endl; \  
}
```

## C++ Genericity with Templates

```
template <class T> \  
class Node { \  
public: \  
    T data; \  
    Node<T> * next; \  
    Node<T> (T d, Node<T> * n) :data(d), next(n) { } \  
  
    T printAndSum() { \  
        cout << data << endl; \  
        if (next == NULL) return data; \  
        else return data + next->printAndSum(); \  
    } \  
}; \  
  
int main() { \  
    Node<float>* fhead = NULL; \  
    Node<int>* ihead = NULL; \  
  
    // ... fill the lists with some input \  
  
    cout << "Floating_sum:_ " << fhead->printAndSum() << endl << endl; \  
    cout << "Int_sum:_ " << ihead->printAndSum() << endl << endl; \  
    return 0; \  
}
```

## Genericity in Java

### Old School (Java $\leq$ 1.4)

- Use abstract base classes/interfaces like `Object`
- Make extensive use of polymorphism
- Lots of *upcasting* and *downcasting*

### Generics (Java $\geq$ 5)

- Similar *syntax* to C++ templates
- Compiler checks type safety then *removes* generic type information
- Up/downcasting still performed, implicitly
- Generics are only *syntactic sugar*

## Manual Genericity in Java

```
interface Sum { void add(Number x); }

class FloatSum implements Sum {
    float val = 0;
    public void add(Number x)
    { val += ((Float)x).floatValue(); }
    public String toString() { return String.valueOf(val); }
}

class IntSum implements Sum {
    int val = 0;
    public void add(Number x)
    { val += ((Integer)x).intValue(); }
    public String toString() { return String.valueOf(val); }
}
```

```
class LL0ld {
    Number data;
    LL0ld next;

    LL0ld(Number d, LL0ld n) { data = d; next = n; }

    Sum printAndSum(Sum summer) {
        System.out.println(data);
        summer.add(data);
        if (next != null) next.printAndSum(summer);
        return summer;
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        LL0ld flist = null;
        LL0ld ilist = null;

        // ... fill the lists with some input

        System.out.println("Floating sum:_" +
            flist.printAndSum(new FloatSum()) + "\n");
        System.out.println("Integer sum:_" +
            ilist.printAndSum(new IntSum()) + "\n");
    }
}
```

## Java 5 Generics

```
interface Sum<T> { void add(T x); }

class FloatSum implements Sum<Float> {
    float val = 0;
    public void add(Float x)
    { val += x.floatValue(); }
    public String toString() { return String.valueOf(val); }
}

class IntSum implements Sum<Integer> {
    int val = 0;
    public void add(Integer x)
    { val += x.intValue(); }
    public String toString() { return String.valueOf(val); }
}
```

```

class LLNew<T> {
    T data;
    LLNew<T> next;

    LLNew(T d, LLNew<T> n) { data = d; next = n; }

    Sum<T> printAndSum(Sum<T> summer) {
        System.out.println(data);
        summer.add(data);
        if (next != null) next.printAndSum(summer);
        return summer;
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        LLNew<Float> flist = null;
        LLNew<Integer> ilist = null;

        // ... fill the lists with some input

        System.out.println(" Floating sum: " +
            flist.printAndSum(new FloatSum()) + "\n");
        System.out.println(" Integer sum: " +
            ilist.printAndSum(new IntSum()) + "\n");
    }
}

```

## Trade-Offs in Generics

- **No declared types**
  - ▶ No *enforced* notion of "list of integers" etc.
  - ▶ Requires dynamic typing; slower
- **Code Generation** (C++ templates)
  - ▶ Can result in (combinatorial!) code explosion
  - ▶ Very powerful and general, but somewhat unintuitive
- **Code Annotation** (Java 5 generics)
  - ▶ Syntactic sugar; extensive run-time casting results
  - ▶ Types not known to the program at runtime — eliminates some capabilities

## Class outcomes

You should know:

- What a scripting language is
- When/why scripting languages are used
- What a generator is
- What a generic class/function is
- Genericity in dynamically-typed languages
- How genericity works in C++ and Java
- Trade-offs in getting genericity in programming languages