

Class 23: Control Structures: For loops, Iterators, and GOTOs

SI 413 - Programming Languages and Implementation

Dr. Daniel S. Roche

United States Naval Academy

Fall 2011

Homework Review

- 1 Write a Java program to verify:
 - ▶ Primitive type variables follow the value model of variables.
 - ▶ Object type variables follow the reference model of variables.
 - ▶ Assignment statements can be r-values.
- 2 Write a C++ program to verify:
 - ▶ Normal variables - including user-defined objects and structs, follow the value model.
 - ▶ Reference variables, created using the & symbol, follow the reference model.
 - ▶ Assignment statements can be r-values or l-values.

Control Flow

The *control flow* of a program is the way an execution moves from statement to statement.

The textbook breaks it down into:

- Sequencing (do the next thing)
- Selection (pick something to do, e.g. **if**, **switch**)
- Iteration (repeat something, e.g. **while**, **for**)
- Recursion
- Unstructured (e.g. **goto**)

Unstructured flow: GOTO

In the beginning, there was GOTO. And GOTO was good.

- Directly jumps from one place (the goto) to another (the label)
- Corresponds exactly to machine code
- Very efficient
- Can cause some problems. . .

Good Use of Goto?

Say we want to print a vector, comma-separated, like “1, 2, 3”.

This solution prints an extra comma!

```
vector<int> v;  
// ...  
int i = 0;  
while (i < v.size()) {  
    cout << v[i] << ",_";  
    ++i;  
}  
cout << endl;
```

Good Use of Goto?

Say we want to print a vector, comma-separated, like “1, 2, 3”.

Here we “jump into the loop” with a **goto**:

```
vector<int> v;  
// ...  
int i = 0;  
goto middle;  
while (i < v.size()) {  
    cout << ", ";  
middle:  
    cout << v[i];  
    ++i;  
}  
cout << endl;
```

Good Use of Goto?

Say we want to print a vector, comma-separated, like “1, 2, 3”.

We could of course write the whole thing with **gotos**:

```
vector<int> v;  
// ...  
int i = 0;  
goto middle;  
top:  
    cout << ", " ;  
middle:  
    cout << v[i];  
    ++i;  
    if (i < v.size()) goto top;  
    cout << endl;
```

Goto Problems

- They don't play well with *scopes*.
(Restricting to *local gotos* avoids this.)
- Can be used to cook up “spaghetti code” — hard to follow.
- Hard to know *where we are* in the program,
i.e., hard to reason about the program's correctness/performance.


```

int x = 0;
char c;
goto rs;
fns:
  if (c != '1' && c != '0') goto er;
  goto ns;
rd:
  c = getchar();
ns:
  if (c == '1') { x = x*2 + 1; goto rd; }
  if (c == '0') { x = x*2; goto rd; }
es:
  if (c == '_')
  {
    c = getchar();
    goto es;
  }
  if (c == '\n') goto done;
er:
  printf("Error!\n");
  return 1;
rs:
  c=getchar();
  if (c == '_') goto rs;
  else goto fns;
done:
  printf("%i\n",x);

```

Spaghetti Code Example (courtesy Dr. Brown)

The preceding code reads a string of binary digits, possibly surrounded by some spaces, and prints them out.

Upon encountering any formatting anomalies, an error message is printed.

Could you have figured that out?

Structured Programming

Structured programming is probably all you have ever known.

Championed by Dijkstra in the pioneering paper “GOTO Statement Considered Harmful” (1968).

Structured programming uses control structures such as functions, **if**, **while**, **for**, etc., even though these are mostly compiled into **gotos**.

Allows us to reason about programs, enforce modularity, write bigger and better programs.

Looping over a Collection

How would you write C++ code to loop over the elements of

- an array?
- a linked list?
- a binary search tree?

How can we separate *interface* from *implementation*?

Iterators

An *iterator* needs to be able to:

- Get initialized over a collection.
- Move forward (maybe backwards?) through a collection.
- Fetch the current element
- Know when it's done.

In C++, an iterator overrides `++` and `*` to become an abstract pointer.

In most other languages (e.g., Java), an iterator has to extend an abstract base type with `next()` and `hasNext()` methods.

For-Each Loops

A *for-each loop* provides an even easier way to loop over the elements of a collection.

Java example:

```
HashSet<String> hs;  
// ...  
for (String s : hs) {  
    System.out.println(s);  
    // This prints out all the strings in the HashSet.  
}
```

This construct is supported by most modern languages. Often there is a direct connection with iterators. In some languages (e.g., Python), this is the *only* for loop.

Dirty Switches

switch statements blur the line between structured and unstructured programming.

Here's my favorite solution to the "print with commas" problem:

```
vector<int> v;  
// ...  
int i = 0;  
switch (v.empty()) {  
    for (; i < v.size(); ++i) {  
        cout << ",_";  
    case false:  
        cout << v[i];  
    }  
}  
cout << endl;
```

Advanced Topics

There's a lot more we could talk about!

- **Unwinding** (for inside-out **gotos**)
- **Jumping out of a loop** (**break**, **continue**)
- **Labeled breaks**
- **Generators**

Class outcomes

You should know:

- What structured vs unstructured programmings is.
- Structured programming constructs:
sequencing, selection, iteration, recursion
- Why GOTOs might be “considered harmful”
- Why GOTOs are useful sometimes
- What an iterator is, and where/how/why they are used.
- What a for-each loop is, and where/how/why they are used.