Different kinds of functions

```
The code f(5) here is definitely a function call:
int f(int x) { return x + 6; }
int main() {
  cout << f(5) << endl;
  return 0;
}

  What else is a function call?</pre>
```

SI413 - Class 21

Operators

Roche (USNA)

```
Say we have the following C++ code:
int mod (int a, int b) {
  return a - (a/b)*b;
}
What is the difference between
23 % 5
and
mod(23, 5)
```

Roche (USNA)

SI413 - Class 21

Fall 2011 2 / 14

Fall 2011 1 / 14

Are Operators Functions?

It's language dependent!

- **Scheme**: Every operator is clearly just like any other function. Yes, they can be re-defined at will.
- **C/C++**: Operators are functions, but they have a *special syntax*. The call x + y is *syntactic sugar* for either **operator**+(x, y) or x.**operator**+(y).
- Java: Can't redefine operators; they only exist for some built-in types. So are they still function calls?

Roche (USNA) SI413 - Class 21 Fall 2011 3/14

Built-ins

A *built-in function* looks like a normal function call, but instead makes something special happen in the compiler/interpreter.

- Usually system calls are this way. C/C++ are an important exception!
- What is the difference between a built-in and a library function?

Roche (USNA)

SI413 - Class 21

Fall 2011 4 / 14

Macros

Recall that C/C++ has a *preprocessor* stage that occurs before compilation.

These are the commands like **#include**, **#ifndef**, etc.

#define defines a *macro*. It corresponds to textual substitution *before* compilation.

Roche (USNA)

SI413 - Class 21

Fall 2011 5 / 14

Constant Macros

Here's an example of a basic macro that you might see somewhere:

The program

```
#define PI 3.14159

double circum (double radius)
{ return 2*PI*radius; }
```

gets directly translated by the preprocessor to

```
double circum (double radius)
{ return 2*3.14159*radius; }
```

before compilation!

Roche (USNA) SI413 - Class 21 Fall 2011 6/14

```
Macro Issues #1
```

What if we wrote the last example differently:

```
#define PI 3.14159
#define TWOPI PI + PI

double circum (double radius)
{ return TWOPI*radius; }
```

Roche (USNA)

SI413 - Class 21

Fall 2011 7 / 14

Function-like Macros

We can also do things like this in C++:

```
#define CIRCUM (radius) 2*3.14159*radius
...
cout << CIRCUM(1.5) + CIRCUM(2.5) << endl;
...
```

gets translated to

```
... cout << 2*3.14159*1.5 + 2*3.14159*2.5 << endl; ...
```

(still prior to compilation)

Roche (USNA)

SI413 - Class 21

Fall 2011 8 / 14

Macro Issues #2

What if we made the following function to print out the larger number:

```
#define PRINTMAX (a,b) \
  if (a >= b) {cout << a << endl;} \
  else {cout << b << endl;}</pre>
```

This will work fine for PRINTMAX(5,10), but what happens with the following:

```
int x = 5;
PRINTMAX(++x, 2)
```

Roche (USNA) SI413 - Class 21 Fall 2011 9/14

Thoughts on Macros

- The advantage is SPEED pre-compilation!
- Notice: no types, syntactic checks, etc.
 - lots of potential for nastiness!
- The literal text of the arguments is pasted into the function wherever the parameters appear.

This is called call by name.

- The **inline** keyword in C++ is a compiler suggestion that may offer a compromise.
- Scheme has a very sophisticated macro definition mechanism
 - allows one to define "special forms" like cond.

Roche (USNA)

SI413 - Class 21

Fall 2011 10 / 14

Argument evaluation

Question: When are function arguments evaluated?

So far we have seen two options:

- **Applicative order**: Arguments are evaluated *just before the function body is executed*. This is what we get in C, C++, Java, and even SPL.
- Call by name: Arguments are evaluated every time they are used. (If they aren't used, they aren't evaluated!)

Roche (USNA)

SI413 - Class 21

Fall 2011 11 / 14

Lazy Evaluation

(Sometimes called *normal order evaluation*)

Combines the best of both worlds:

- Arguments are not evaluated until they are used.
- Arguments are only evaluated at most once.

(Related idea to memoization.)

Roche (USNA) SI413 - Class 21 Fall 2011 12 / 14

Lazy Examples

Note: lazy evaluation is great for functional languages (why?).

- Haskell uses lazy evaluation for everything, by default.
 Allows wonderful things like infinite arrays!
- Scheme lets us do it manually with *delayed evaluation*, using she *built-in special forms* delay and force.

Roche (USNA) SI413 - Class 21 Fall 2011 13 / 14

Class outcomes

You should know:

- How operators compare with normal functions
- How built-ins compare with normal functions
- What macros are, why we might want to use them, and what dangers they bring.
- The difference between the three argument evaluation options: applicative order, call by name, and lazy evaluation

You should be able to:

- Perform simple macro translations of programs
- Trace program execution using any of the three argument evaluations schemes above