Class 14: Nested Scopes and Declaration Order SI 413 - Programming Languages and Implementation

#### Dr. Daniel S. Roche

United States Naval Academy

Fall 2011

#### Homework Review

```
int \mathbf{x} = 10;
int foo(int y) {
  x = y + 5;
  print(x);
}
int main() {
  int \mathbf{x} = 8;
  foo(9);
  print(x);
}
```

What happens in a dynamic vs. lexically scoped language?

Another dynamic/lexical example

```
int width = 10;
char justification = 'L';
void print(string s) {
    int space = width - length(s);
    if (justification == 'L') print(s);
    for (int i=0; i<space; ++i) print('_');
    if (justification == 'R') print(s);
}
```

Suppose we want a function foo that prints a series of names, using the existing print function, all right-justified to 20 characters width. How would we write this in a dynamic vs. a lexically scoped language?

# Another dynamic/lexical example

In a dynamically scoped language, we could just write

```
void foo(string names[], int n) {
    int width = 20; char justification = 'R';
    for(int i=0; i<n; ++i)
        print(names[i]);
}</pre>
```

In a lexically-scoped language, we would have to change the *global* values of width and justification, and then (to be nice) change them back before returning.

# Another dynamic/lexical example

In a dynamically scoped language, we could just write

```
void foo(string names[], int n) {
    int width = 20; char justification = 'R';
    for(int i=0; i<n; ++i)
        print(names[i]);
}</pre>
```

In a lexically-scoped language, we would have to change the *global* values of width and justification, and then (to be nice) change them back before returning.

What would the effect of *nested function calls* be on the above strategies?

# Nested scopes

Certain language structures create a *new scope*. For example:

int temp = 5;

```
// Sorts a two-element array.
void twosort(int A[]) {
  if (A[0] > A[1]) {
    int temp = A[0];
    A[0] = A[1];
    A[1] = temp;
int main() {
  int arr = \{2, 1\};
  twosort(arr);
  cout << temp; // Prints 5, even with dynamic scoping!
}
```

In C++, nested scopes are made using curly braces ({ and }). The scope resolution operator :: allows jumping between scopes manually.

In most languages, function bodies are a nested scope. Often, control structure blocks also form nested scopes (e.g. **for**, **if**, etc.)

*Lexical scoping* creates a tree structure with the nested scopes. Every name that is *visible* within some scope is either defined locally within that scope, or is defined above somewhere on the path from the root.

# Nested Functions

With nested functions, we have to consider scope and allocation rules.

```
void f(int a, int b) {
    int g(int c) {
        return a + c;
    }
    if (a == 0) return;
    print(g(g(b)));
    f(a-1,b+1);
}
```

What integers are printed from the call f(5,5)?

# Nested Functions

With nested functions, we have to consider scope and allocation rules.

```
void f(int a, int b) {
    int g(int c) {
        return a + c;
    }
    if (a == 0) return;
    print(g(g(b)));
    f(a-1,b+1);
}
```

What integers are printed from the call f(5,5)?

In many languages, variables must be *declared* before they are used. (Otherwise, the first use of a variable constitutes a declaration.)

In C/C++, the scope of a name starts at its declaration and goes to the end of the scope. Every name must be declared before its first use, because names are *resolved* as they are encountered.

C++ and Java make an exception for names in *class scope*. Scheme doesn't resolve names until they are evaulated.

#### Declaration Order and Mutual Recursion

Consider the following familar code:

```
void exp() { atom(); exptail(); }
void atom() {
   switch(peek()) {
     case LP: match(LP); exp(); match(RP); break;
     //...
   }
}
```

Mutual recursion in C/C++ requires *forward declarations*, i.e., function prototypes.

These wouldn't be needed within a class definition or in Scheme. C# and Pascal solve the problem in a different way...

You should know:

- Relative advantages of dynamic and lexical scoping.
- The motivation behind declare-before-use rules, and their effect on mutual recursion.

You should be able to:

- Draw the tree of nested scopes for a lexically-scoped program.
- Trace a program with nested function calls using lexical scoping.