# Class 13: Name, Scope, Lifetime

SI 413 - Programming Languages and Implementation

#### Dr. Daniel S. Roche

United States Naval Academy

Fall 2011

### Homework Review

Generate parse tree for the program

x := 5\*3 + 4;x > 10 & x/2 < 10;

- Write the AST for that program.
- Occorate the AST with the type of each node.

# Naming Issues: Example 1

We need to know what thing a *name* refers to in our programs.

Consider, in Perl:

\$x=1; sub foo() { x = 5; } sub bar() { local x = 2; foo(); print  $x, "\n"$ ; } bar();

What gets printed for *x*?

#### Naming Issues: Example 2

We need to know what thing a *name* refers to in our programs.

Consider, in Scheme:

What gets printed for x?

# Naming Issues: Example 3

We need to know what thing a *name* refers to in our programs.

```
Consider. in C++:
char* foo() {
  char s[20];
  cin >> s;
  return s:
}
int bar (char * x) { cout \ll x \ll endl; }
int main() { bar(foo()); }
```

What gets printed for x?

# Basic terminology

- Name: A reference to something in our program
- **Binding**: An attachment of a *value* to a *name*
- Scope: The part of code where a *binding* is active
- Referencing Environment: The set of bindings around an expression

- Allocation: Setting aside space for an object
- Lifetime: The time when an object is in memory

At a given point in the execution of our program, *what thing does a name refer to?* 

- We need to know this as *programmers*.
- We really need to know this as *compiler developers*.

The storage for some objects can be fixed at compile-time. Then our program can access them *really quickly*!

Examples:

- Global variables
- Literals (e.g. "a string")
- *Everything* in Fortran 77?

# Stack Allocation

The run-time stack is usually used for function calls. Includes local variables, arguments, and returned values.

Example: What does the stack look like for this C program?

```
int g(int x) { return x*x; }
int f(int y) {
    int x = 3 + g(y);
    return x;
}
int main() {
    int n = 5;
    f(n);
```

The heap refers to a pile of memory that can be taken as needed. It is typically used for *run-time memory allocation*.

This is the *slowest* kind of allocation because it happens at run-time. More common in dynamic languages.

Compilers/interpreters providing *garbage collection* make life easier with lots of heap-allocated storage.

Otherwise the segfault monsters will come...

Why not just have every instance of a name bind to the same object? This will make the compiler-writer's job easy!

Why not just have every instance of a name bind to the same object? This will make the compiler-writer's job easy!

- Programmers like to re-use common variable names.
- Code will be very very not-modular.
- Recursion becomes impossible! (Why?)

#### Dynamic vs. Lexical Scope

Perl's local variables have *dynamic scope*.

The binding is determined by the most recent declaration at run time.

Perl's local variables have *dynamic scope*.

The binding is determined by the most recent declaration *at run time*.

Most other languages (and my variables in Perl) have lexical scope.

The binding is determined *statically* (at compile time) as the closest *lexically* nested scope where that name is declared.

(Note: this is actually the hardest to implement!)

#### Dynamic vs. Lexical Example

```
int x = 10;
int foo(int y) {
  x = y + 5;
  print(x);
}
int main() {
  int x = 8:
  foo(9);
  print(x);
}
```

How does the behavior differ between a dynamic or lexically scoped language?

# **Class outcomes**

You should know:

- The meaning of terms like *binding* and *scope*
- The trade-offs involved in storage allocation
- The trade-offs involved in scoping rules

You should be able to:

- Show how variables are allocated in C++, Java, and Scheme.
- Draw out activation records on a run-time stack.
- Determine the run-time bindings in a program using static, dynamic, and lexical scoping.