

Quick Sheet

Remember that $\log(n)$ is assumed to be of base 2 in Computer Science unless otherwise stated.

- (1) Given fact you can use on exams and assignments: $1 < \log(n) < n < n^2 < \dots < n^p$ for $n > 3$
- (2) Definition of big-O: $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if and only if $\exists k, n_o$ st. $f(n) \leq k g(n)$ for $n > n_o$
- (3) Definition of Induction 1: if a property P holds true for k , and it can be shown that $P(n)$ implies $P(n + 1)$ then for all $n \geq k$ $P(n)$ holds.
- (4) Definition of Induction 2: show a base case holds true, say $P(k)$ is true. Assume $P(n)$ is true up to some n , using this show $P(n + 1)$ is true. We now have that for all $n \geq k$ $P(n)$ is true.
- (5) Definition of Induction 3: $(P(k) \text{ and } (P(n) \rightarrow P(n + 1))) \rightarrow \forall n(n \geq k \rightarrow P(n))$

Proof of big-O using Induction:

As we can see from the above definitions of induction, it is used to prove a property for all $n \geq k$. How are we going to use this to prove $\exists k, n_o$ st. $f(n) \leq k g(n)$ for $n \geq n_o$? Well the definition of big-O has a for all, when we say for $n \geq n_o$, we are really saying for all values of n that are bigger than n_o . This is the part of big-O that benefits from the induction step. This is where the proofs will differ from Math 135, we also want to show $\exists k, n_o$, and we will do this by placing restrictions on them throughout the induction proof, as once we are done the induction we can say. If I am given a k^* and n^* that satisfy these conditions the above proof of induction will prove $f(n) \leq k^* g(n)$ for $n \geq n^*$, and since I have value for k and n_o namely k^* and n^* they must exist, therefore $\exists k, n_o$ st. $f(n) \leq k g(n)$ for $n \geq n_o$ Holds, therefore $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ by definition.

Summary of Steps for Proving $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$

1. Remove big-O notation from the question you are answering.
ex. Prove: $\exists k, n_o$ st. $f(n) \leq k g(n)$ for $n > n_o$
2. Write out the function you are dealing with in terms of constants and recursion.
ex. $f(n) = a$ (for $n = 0$), $f(n) = f(n - 1) + b$ (for $n > 0$)
3. Base Case: Show $f(n) \leq k g(n)$ holds for some start value of n , likely to work for 0, 1, or 2.
 - a. Keep in mind that you can restrict $k > a + b$, or any other constants to make it work.
 - b. Also remember that your base case is linked to n_o , so if you prove it for $n = 5$ then $n_o \geq 5$ is the restriction you have to impose.
4. Induction Hypothesis: Assume $f(n) \leq k g(n)$
5. Induction Conclusion: Show $f(n + 1) \leq k g(n + 1)$
 - a. For a proper proof you need exactly this statement, do not change the coefficient, ending up with $f(n + 1) \leq 2k g(n + 1)$ or even $f(n + 1) \leq (k + 1) g(n + 1)$ is incorrect.
 - b. You can, however restrict k to be larger than given constants; don't increase n_o as it's linked to the base case of the induction.
6. Finish off by concluding with a statement that explains if the restrictions you have found are followed, the induction proof is complete, and because you have values for k and n_o they must exist. Therefore $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$.

Tutorial 5: big-O Notation and Proofs by Induction

1.

Prove $f(n)$ is $O(n^2)$, where $f(n) = An^2 + Bn\log(n) + n$

ie. Show $\exists k, n_o f(n) \leq kn^2$ for $n > n_o$

$$f(n) = An^2 + Bn\log(n) + n$$

$$An^2 + Bn\log(n) + n \leq An^2 + Bn(n) + n^2 \quad \text{by fact (1), for } n > 3$$

$$An^2 + Bn(n) + n^2 = (A + B + 1)n^2$$

Since, $f(n) \leq kn^2$ for $n > n_o$ holds if $k = A + B + 1$ and $n_o = 3$

There must exist k and n_o because we have values that work.

Therefore, $\exists k, n_o f(n) \leq kn^2$ for $n > n_o$

Therefore, $f(n)$ is $O(n^2)$

2.

Prove $f(n)$ is not $O(n)$, where $f(n) = An^2$

Assume it is true, ie. Assume $\exists k, n_o f(n) \leq kn$ for $n > n_o$

$$An^2 \leq kn$$

$$An \leq k$$

$$n \leq \frac{k}{A} \quad \text{there's a contradiction as } n \text{ can be as large as we want.}$$

Pick a $B > \frac{k}{A}$ and $B \geq n_o$, now let $n = B$

$$B \leq \frac{k}{A}$$

but we picked $B > \frac{k}{A}$ therefore we have a contradiction, therefore (n) is not $O(n)$.

3.

Prove $f(n)$ is not $O(\log(n^n))$, where $f(n) = An^2$ where $A > 0$

Assume it is true, ie. Assume $\exists k, n_o f(n) \leq k\log(n^n)$ for $n > n_o$

$$f(n) = An^2 \leq k \log(n^n)$$

$$An^2 \leq kn \log(n)$$

$$An \leq k \log(n)$$

$$\frac{A}{k} \leq \frac{\log(n)}{n}$$

from here we see that there is a contradiction. We can make n as large as we want; therefore, we can make $\frac{\log(n)}{n}$ as small as we want by picking larger n , and because we are dealing with efficiency this course will always be dealing with positive constants. Therefore we are safe to say $k > 0$ and $A > 0$, and hence $\frac{A}{k} > 0$, now we need to show this out right.

$$\frac{A}{k} \leq \frac{\log(n)}{n}$$

$$\text{let } B = \frac{A}{k} \text{ and let } n = B^B$$

$$\log(B) \leq B \leq \frac{\log(B^B)}{B^B}$$

$$B^B \leq \frac{B \log(B)}{\log(B)}$$

$$B^B \leq B$$

Contradiction by fact (1)

Therefore $f(n)$ is not $O(\log(n^n))$

4.

Prove $f(n)$ is $O(1)$, where $f(n) = \frac{A \log(n)}{n}$

ie. Show $\exists k, n_o$ $f(n) \leq k(1)$ for $n > n_o$

$$f(n) = \frac{A \log(n)}{n}$$

$$1 < \log(n) < n \text{ for } n > 3$$

$$\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\log(n)}{n} < 1$$

$$\frac{A \log(n)}{n} \leq A(1)$$

Since, $f(n) \leq k(1)$ for $n > n_o$ holds if $k = A$ and $n_o = 3$

There must exist k and n_0 because we have values that work.

Therefore, $\exists k, n_0 f(n) \leq k(1)$ for $n > n_0$

Therefore, $f(n)$ is $O(1)$

The other ones follow easily because $A(1) < A \frac{\log(n)}{n} < A \log(n) < A n$

5.

Find the Error in the reasoning below.

$O(n^2)$ is $O(n(n-1))$

$O(n^2)$ is $O(n(n-2))$

⋮

$O(n^2)$ is $O(n(n-p))$

⋮

$O(n^2)$ is $O(n(2))$

$O(n^2)$ is $O(n(1))$

therefore $O(n^2)$ is $O(n)$.

this reasoning is correct up to $O(n^2)$ is $O(n(n-p))$, where p is a constant. The problem after this is that p is not a constant, $n(n-p) = n(2) \xrightarrow{\text{implies}} p = n-2$ here we see that p depends on a variable therefore making the big-O expression change and causing a problem in our logic.

6. I'd recommend reading the 'Proof of big-O using Induction' in the quick sheet before proceeding

Prove $f(n)$ is $O(n)$, where $f(n) = a$ (if $n = 0$) and $f(n) = b + f(n - 1)$ (if $n > 0$)

1. Prove $\exists k, n_0$ st. $f(n) \leq kn$ for $n > n_0$

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } n = 0 \\ b + f(n - 1) & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

3. Base Case: Try $n = 0$ here $n_0 \geq 0$

$f(0) = a$, want to show $f(0) \leq k(0) = 0$, but $a > 0$ (represents a constant amount of work)

This doesn't work so try another base case.

Try $n = 1$ here $n_0 \geq 1$

$$f(1) = b + f(1 - 1) = b + a \quad \text{want to show } f(1) \leq k(1).$$

This is easy if $k \geq a + b$ so we impose this restriction.

Therefore base case holds if our restrictions are followed.

4. Induction Hypothesis: Assume $f(n) \leq kn$ holds up to some n .

5. Induction Conclusion: Show $f(n + 1) \leq k(n + 1)$

$$f(n + 1) = b + f(n)$$

$$b + f(n) \leq b + kn$$

$$b + kn \leq k + kn$$

$$k + kn = k(n + 1)$$

by our assumption

restrict $k > b$

Therefore the Induction Conclusion holds if $k > b$.

6. If I am given a k^* st. $k^* > a + b$ and $k^* > b$ (which is redundant) say $k^* = a + b + 1$ and a n^* st. $n^* \geq 1$ say $n^* = 2$. The above induction proof concludes that $f(n) \leq k^* n$ for $n > n^*$, and because I have values k^* and n^* $\exists k, n_0$ st. $f(n) \leq kn$ for $n > n_0$.

Therefore $f(n)$ is $O(n)$.

7. I'd recommend reading the 'Proof of big-O using Induction' on the quick sheet before proceeding

Prove $T(n)$ is $O(n^2)$, where $T(0)$ and $T(1)$ are $O(1)$,

and $T(n) = T(n - 2) + f(n)$, where $f(n)$ is $O(n)$.

1. Prove $\exists k, n_0$ st. $T(n) \leq kn^2$ for $n > n_0$

Where $\exists a, n_a$ st. $T(0) \leq a(1)$ for $n > n_a$ but because a does not include n , it's simply $\forall n$.

Where $\exists b, n_b$ st. $T(1) \leq b(1)$ for $n > n_b$ but because b does not include n , it's simply $\forall n$.

Where $\exists k_f, n_f$ st. $f(n) \leq k_f n$ for $n > n_f$

2. $T(n) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } n = 0 \\ b & \text{if } n = 1 \\ T(n-2) + f(n) & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$ note how $f(n)$ is left in the equation, this is because we

only have information about $f(n)$ above n_f , but we'll use this later.

3. Base Case: try $n = 2$ here $n_0 \geq 2$

$$T(2) = T(2 - 2) + f(2) = a + f(2) \leq a + k_f(2)$$

Want $T(2) \leq k2^2 = 4k$, restrict $k > k_f$ and $k > a$ then

$$a + k_f(2) \leq k + 2k < 4k$$

Therefore $T(2) < k2^2$ holds with our restrictions.

But we need two base cases because we are stepping down the recursion by two ($T(n - 2)$)

try $n = 3$

$$T(3) = T(3 - 2) + f(3) = b + f(3) \leq b + k_f(3)$$

Want $T(3) \leq k3^2 = 9k$, restrict $k > k_f$ and $k > b$ then

$$b + k_f(3) \leq k + 3k < 9k$$

Therefore $T(3) < k3^2$ holds with one more restrictions.

7. Induction Hypothesis: Assume $T(n) \leq kn^2$ up to some n .

8. Induction Conclusion: Show $T(n + 1) \leq k(n + 1)^2 = kn^2 + 2kn + k$

$$T(n + 1) = T(n - 1) + f(n + 1)$$

$$T(n - 1) + f(n + 1) \leq k(n - 1)^2 + f(n + 1) \quad \text{by Indo Hypo}$$

$$k(n - 1)^2 + f(n + 1) \leq k(n - 1)^2 + k_f(n + 1) \quad f(n) \text{ is } O(n)$$

$$k(n - 1)^2 + k_f(n + 1) = kn^2 - 2kn + k + k_f n + k_f$$

If we add the restriction that $k > k_f$ (yes I've done this already) we get.

$$kn^2 - 2kn + k + k_f n + k_f \leq kn^2 - 2kn + k + kn + k$$

$$kn^2 - 2kn + k + kn + k \leq kn^2 - kn + 2k$$

$$kn^2 - kn + 2k \leq kn^2 - kn + 2kn$$

$$kn^2 - kn + 2kn \leq kn^2 + kn$$

$$kn^2 + kn \leq kn^2 + 2kn + k$$

$$kn^2 + 2kn + k = k(n + 1)^2$$

This proves our Induction Conclusion under our restrictions.

9. Therefore if I'm given values k^* and n^* that follow the restrictions I've set down, the above induction proves $T(n) \leq k^*n^2$ for $n > n^*$. From here it follows that $T(n)$ is $O(n^2)$